Cite this: Org. Biomol. Chem., 2012, 10, 1497

www.rsc.org/obc

COMMUNICATION

Bis(*N*-methylindolyl)methane-based chemical probes for Hg²⁺ and Cu²⁺ and molecular IMPLICATION gate operating in fluorescence mode[†]

Paramjit Kaur,*^a Sandeep Kaur^{a,b} and Kamaljit Singh*^b

Received 25th October 2011, Accepted 29th November 2011 DOI: 10.1039/c2ob06793e

Bis(*N*-methylindolyl)methane derivatives behave as chemosensors for Hg^{2+} and Cu^{2+} ions relying on the absorption as well as emission changes. Rarely reported IMPLICATION logic gate operating in the fluorescence mode has been developed with respect to the emission band of one of the derivatives as output, with Hg^{2+} and I^- as inputs.

Indole-based receptors have attracted considerable recent attention attributed to the acidity of the pyrrole NH group enhancing their binding affinity for anions. While Ghosh et al.¹ have reported the importance of indole-NH in detecting fluoride, Shao et al.² have demonstrated that introduction of the electron donating group into the indole-based skeleton can lower anion affinity of such systems with consequent augmentation of affinity towards cations. The cation-binding efficiency may depend upon the electron-donating power of the coordinating nitrogen atoms or the availability of the π -electron density for complexation.³ Modulating the electron donation of indole nitrogens by way of appending alkyl groups, we have demonstrated the feasibility of Hg^{2+} and Cu^{2+} recognition. Molina *et al.*⁴ have demonstrated the chemosensing behavior of meso-arylbis(indolyl)methanes for the Cu^{2+} . We envisaged that in addition to the replacement of N–H function with the N-Me, the introduction of heterocyclic/aryl substituents at meso-position could modulate their sensitivity towards cations and may even lead to, yet less explored, multication sensing. In continuation of our research interest,⁵ we have explored chemosensing properties of the meso-substituted bis (N-methylindolyl)methane derivatives (1a-c) (Scheme 1 and S2, S3 in ESI[†]).

In line with our expectations, these systems are highly sensitive to the presence of heavy metal ions, Hg^{2+} and Cu^{2+} . Detection of these two metal ions is important from the viewpoints of their environmental and biological relevance.

Considerable strides have recently been made⁶ to design and synthesize the sensor systems which present instantaneous optical response to these metal ions. Among heavy metal ions, mercury is an extremely toxic and environmentally hazardous⁷ element especially when present in the form of organic mercury such as methylmercury, which can lead to neurological, immunological, reproductive and cardiac disorders when absorbed by the human gastrointestinal tract.⁸ Inorganic mercury also is quite dangerous to the immune system and is neurotoxic.⁹ It produces a serious health concern when it accumulates in the food chain through air, water, vaccines, cosmetic products, etc.¹⁰ Cu²⁺, being third in abundance preceded by Fe^{2+} and Zn^{2+} , plays a pivotal role in a variety of physiological processes¹¹ but can often be toxic to certain biological systems when its amount exceeds the cellular needs.¹² It is also implicated in Alzheimer's disease, prion diseases, Menkes and Wilson diseases, lipid metabolism and inflammatory disorders.¹³ Copper has been extensively used for the industrial, pharmaceutical and agricultural purposes¹⁴ due to its properties like chemical stability, high electrical conductivity, germicidal efficacy and ability to form alloys with other metal ions. But at the same time, its widespread use poses a serious threat to environment, which is attributed to its ionic form Cu^{2+,15} Therefore, the design and development of potential chemosensors for heavy metal ions is very important.

On the other hand, since the report of the first AND logic gate by de Silva *et al.*,¹⁶ a variety of molecular logic gates such as NAND, OR, NOR, XOR, INHIBIT, *etc.*¹⁷ have been reported and consequently molecular logic gates and their integrated operations have become one of the important focus of chemistry. However, with respect to the IMPLICATION gate, in which either input A implies the other input B or input B implies the other input A and are equal to the IF-THEN operation and the NOT operation (here NOT implies two inputs), there are very limited reports.¹⁸ In earlier reports, cation/cation^{18a} and cation/ chelating agent (EDTA)^{18b} have been employed as two inputs.



Scheme 1 Structures of 1a-c.

^aDepartment of Chemistry, UGC-Center for Advance Studies-1, Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar, 143005, India. E-mail: paramjit19in @yahoo.co.in; Fax: +91-183-2258819-20; Tel: +91-183-2258853 ^bOrganic Synthesis Laboratory, Department of Applied Chemical Sciences and Technology, Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar, 143005, India. E-mail: kamaljit19in@yahoo.co.in; Fax: +91-183-2258819-20; Tel: +91-183-2258853

[†]Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Synthesis and spectroscopic analysis of **1a–c**, Uv-vis, fluorescence, NMR and cyclic voltammetric data. See DOI: 10.1039/c2ob06793e

Here, we wish to present one IMPLICATION gate operating in fluorescence mode with cation/anion, *i.e.* Hg^{2+} and I^{-} as two chemical inputs.

The UV-vis absorption spectra of 1a-c (3 × 10⁻⁵ M, in CH₃CN) are characterized by a very strong band at 227 nm (1a and 1c with ε_{max} 68 753 and 56 410 l mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹, respectively), 228 nm (1b with ε_{max} 65 713 l mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹) and a weak band at 291 nm (1a and 1b with ε_{max} 10 796 and 11 103 1 mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹, respectively), 292 nm (1c with ε_{max} 9573 1 mol⁻¹ cm⁻¹). The emission spectra of 1a-c are characterized by one strong emission band at 500 nm which is in contrast to the weak emissions reported for the *meso*-substituted bis(indolvl)methane.⁴ We attribute the strong emission to the photoinduced electron transfer (PET) process involving nitrogen lone pairs of the electrons, which is facilitated by the methyl substituted indole nitrogen. Before investigating the cation sensing properties of 1a-c, the effect of pH variation was noted during titration of 1a-c $(3 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M}, \text{ in CH}_3\text{CN})$ with both HCl as well as NaHCO₃ (0.01 M). No significant change was observed in the respective absorption spectra over the covered pH range (2.0-12.0) (S4-S6, ESI[†]), thus demonstrating an advantage for rapid monitoring of analytes in environmental and biological settings without resorting to buffered media. Our preliminary investigations reveal that the absorption properties of **1a** and **1b** $(3 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M}, \text{ in CH}_3\text{CN})$ are perturbed by both Hg^{2+} (added as perchlorate salt) and Cu^{2+} (added as nitrate salt) whereas that of 1c only by Cu^{2+} over other metal ions, Li^+ , Na^+ , Mg^{2+} , Ca^{2+} , Ba^{2+} , Cr^{3+} , Mn^{2+} , Fe^{2+} , Fe^{3+} , Co²⁺, Ni²⁺, Zn²⁺, Ag⁺, Cd²⁺, Pb²⁺ (added as perchlorate salts) under similar experimental conditions (S7–S12, ESI[†]).

On successive addition of aqueous solution of Hg $^{2+}$ (2.85 \times 10^{-7} to 4.85×10^{-5} M) to solution of **1a** (3 × 10^{-5} M, in CH₃CN), the absorbance of 1a at 227 and 291 nm gradually disappear accompanied by the progressive appearance of new bands at 260, 325 and 458 nm with two isosbestic points at 238 and 296 nm. These absorbance changes reached the saturation point at the addition of 4.28×10^{-5} M solution of Hg²⁺ ions. It is noteworthy that the addition of excess Hg²⁺ ions caused no significant changes in the UV-vis spectral pattern (Fig. 1(i)). The low energy band at 458 nm induces a color change (Fig. S10, ESI⁺) from colorless to bright yellow, well appreciated by the 'naked eye'. The incremental addition of aqueous solution of Cu²⁺ ions to the solution of 1a under similar experimental conditions resulted in the disappearance of the band at 291 nm accompanied by a progressive appearance of new bands at 288, 325, 433 and 517 nm which attained saturation at the addition of 4×10^{-5} M solution of Cu²⁺ ions (Fig. 1(ii)). The low energy bands are responsible for the color change (Fig. S10, ESI⁺) from colorless to red. The resulting titration data fit nicely with a 1:1 (1a : M²⁺) binding model as suggested by HypSpec,¹⁹ a nonlinear least-squares fitting programme, giving binding constant values, log $\beta_{1:1} = 5.49$ and 5.23 for Hg²⁺ and Cu²⁺, respectively. The 1:1 stoichiometry was further confirmed by Job's plots (a continuous variation method) where the absorbance at 458 (Hg^{2+}) and 517 nm (Cu^{2+}) got to maximum when molar fraction of both the metal ions were 0.5 (Fig. 1, insets). The calculated detection limits²⁰ are 7.67×10^{-6} and 7.74×10^{-6} M for Hg²⁺ and Cu²⁺ respectively.

The fluorescence emission band of **1a** at 500 nm undergoes partial quenching on incremental addition of aqueous solution of

 ${\rm Hg}^{2+}$ ions (1.42 × 10⁻⁶ to 3.85 × 10⁻⁵ M) (Fig. 2(i)) while complete quenching on successive addition of aqueous solution of Cu²⁺ ions (1.42 × 10⁻⁶ to 4 × 10⁻⁵ M) (Fig. 2(ii)).

The quenching of emission band is attributed to the spin orbit coupling effects in case of Hg²⁺ ions²¹ and the paramagnetic nature of Cu²⁺.²² However, almost similar values of binding constants for Hg²⁺ (log $\beta_{1:1} = 5.08$) and Cu²⁺ (log $\beta_{1:1} = 5.20$) are indicative of equal magnitude of their interactions with **1a** which is further supported by the electrochemical studies. The



Fig. 1 Changes in the absorption spectrum of 1a $[3 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M in } \text{CH}_3\text{CN}]$ upon titration with aqueous solution of (i) Hg²⁺ (2.85 × 10⁻⁷ to 4.85 × 10⁻⁵ M) and (ii) Cu²⁺ (2.85 × 10⁻⁷ to 4.28 × 10⁻⁵ M). Inset: Job plots.



Fig. 2 Changes in the fluorescence spectrum of 1a $[3 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M in CH}_3\text{CN}]$ upon titration with aqueous solution of (i) Hg²⁺ (1.42 × 10⁻⁶ to 3.85 × 10⁻⁵ M), (ii) Cu²⁺ (1.42 × 10⁻⁶ to 4 × 10⁻⁵ M).

2

1.8

1.6

1.4

1.2

1

0.8 0.6

0.4

2

1.5

1

0.5

0 ∔ 225

Absorbance

Absorbance

ESI†). The successive addition of aqueous solution of Hg²⁺ (2.85 \times 10^{-6} to 6.28 × 10^{-5} M) to solution of **1b** (3 × 10^{-5} M, in CH₃CN), causes the absorbance of 1b at 228 and 291 nm to disappear gradually with concomitant appearance of new bands at 254, 315 and 467 nm with the isosbestic points at 240, 269 and 296 nm. These changes reached their maximum at the addition of 5.71×10^{-5} M solution of Hg²⁺ ions (Fig. 3(i)). On the other hand, the stepwise addition of aqueous solution of Cu^{2+} (2.85 × 10^{-7} to 4.28×10^{-5} M) induced the disappearance of 291 nm band with the concomitant appearance of new bands at 285, 325, 425 and 506 nm attaining saturation at the addition of 4×10^{-5} M solution of Cu^{2+} ions, with the isosbestic point at 303 nm (Fig. 3(ii)). Color change (Fig. S11, ESI⁺) from colorless to dark yellow (Hg²⁺) and orange (Cu²⁺) facilitate the 'naked eye' detection of these ions.

sive additions of solutions of Hg^{2+} and Cu^{2+} ions (S13 and S14,

The calculated detection limits are 9.96×10^{-6} and 1.24×10^{-5} M for Hg²⁺ and Cu²⁺ respectively. The 1 : 1 stoichiometry

0.9

Ê0.6

A (467

400

(ii)

Wavelength (nm)

A (506 nm) 0 3 (506 nm) 0 0

0

525

0

0

500

0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8

0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8

[Cu²⁺]/{[Cu²⁺]+[1b]}

625

[Hg²⁺]/{[Hg²⁺]+[1b]}

600

(i)

300

suggest the stronger interaction of Hg²⁺ than Cu²⁺ with **1b**, attributed to the thiophilic nature of Hg²⁺.²⁴ The proposed magnitude of interaction is further corroborated by a considerable perturbation in the oxidation wave at $E_{1/2} = 0.959$ V by Hg²⁺ as com-

pared to Cu^{2+} (Fig. 4). In contrast to **1a** and **1b**, which respond to the presence of both Hg²⁺ and Cu²⁺, **1c** responds only to the presence of Cu²⁺. The successive addition of aqueous solution of Cu^{2+} (2.85 × 10^{-6} to 4.28×10^{-5} M) to a solution of **1c** (3×10^{-5} M, in CH₃CN) induces a gradual disappearance of the original absorption bands at 227 and 292 nm accompanied by the appearance of new bands at 285, 378 and 508 nm with an isosbestic point at 308 nm attaining saturation at the addition of 4×10^{-5} M solution of Cu^{2+} ions (Fig. 5). These changes are accompanied by color change (Fig. S12, ESI†) from colorless to pink with the detection limit 6.7×10^{-6} M.

1b exhibiting emission band at 500 nm, also displayed fluorescence quenching upon the addition of Hg^{2+} (2.85 × 10⁻⁶ to

 6×10^{-5} M) and Cu²⁺ (2.85 × 10⁻⁶ to 3.71 × 10⁻⁵ M) under

similar experimental conditions (S15 and S16, ESI[†]). The large difference in the log $\beta_{1:1}$ values for Hg²⁺ (7.23) and Cu (5.01)

Obvious quenching of the emission band at 500 nm was observed on the incremental addition of aqueous solution of



Fig. 3 Changes in the absorption spectrum of **1b** $[3 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M} \text{ in } \text{CH}_3\text{CN}]$ upon titration with aqueous solution of (i) Hg^{2+} (2.85 × 10⁻⁶ to 6.28 × 10⁻⁵ M and (ii) Cu^{2+} (2.85 × 10⁻⁷ to 4.28 × 10⁻⁵ M). Inset: Job plots.

425

Wavelength (nm)

Fig. 4 Cyclic voltammetric changes of 1b $[5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ M in CH}_3\text{CN}]$ upon titration with aqueous solution of (i) Hg²⁺ (5 × 10⁻⁶ M to 4.5 × 10⁻⁵ M) and (ii) Cu²⁺ (5 × 10⁻⁶ M to 2.5 × 10⁻⁵ M).

325



Fig. 5 Changes in the absorption spectrum of 1c $[3 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M} \text{ in } CH_3CN]$ upon titration with aqueous solution of Cu^{2+} (2.85 × 10⁻⁷ to 4.28 × 10⁻⁵ M). Inset: Job plot.

Cu²⁺ ions (1.42 × 10⁻⁶ to 4.28 × 10⁻⁵ M) to a solution of **1c** (3 × 10⁻⁵ M in CH₃CN) (S17, ESI[†]). Fitting of both the titration data using HypSpec fitting programme, absorption as well as fluorescence, gave the binding constant values log $\beta_{1:1}$ for 1 : 1 stoichiometry of 5.21 and 5.22, respectively. This stoichiometry was further confirmed from the Job's plot (Fig. 5, inset). No shift in the oxidation and reduction wave at $E_{1/2} = 0.927$ and $E_{1/2} = 0.846$ V was observed upon successive addition of Cu²⁺ ions (S18, ESI[†]).

¹H NMR experiments were performed to further study the responses of **1a–c** towards Hg²⁺ and Cu²⁺ ions. In the presence of Hg²⁺ and Cu²⁺, while the aromatic protons of **1a–c** exhibit considerable shifts [from δ 6.01–7.47 to 6.79–9.14], the signals of C2–H [δ 6.66–6.86], were displaced to maximum to merge with the signals of aromatic protons indicating the interaction of enamine subunits involving N(Me)–C2H–C3 with the metal ions. The N–Me signals also depicted a downfield shift [from δ 3.63–3.70 to 4.0–4.23] corroborating the proposed interaction (see S19–S23, ESI†).

The development of molecules that can operate Boolean operations to form molecular gates has attained an increasing interest in the recent years. Out of 16 logic gates developed so far on the basis of Boolean operations (S24, ESI[†]), the main reports are on the commutative operations: AND/NAND (G_1/G_{14}), OR/NOR (G_7/G_8) and XOR/EQU (G_6/G_9) as well as the two of the noncommutative INHIBIT (G_2/G_4) gates. The other two noncommutative gates, *i.e.* IMPLICATION (G_{11}/G_{13}), with limited reports, are still unexplored. The IMPLICATION operations are important because they are equivalent to IF-THEN operation and the NOT operation and are obtained when $In_A = In_B = 0 \rightarrow Out = 1$ which is only quenched, *i.e.* Out = 0, in the presence of one input alone and further gets retrieved, *i.e.* Out = 1 when $In_A = In_B = 1$.

On the basis of our preliminary investigations we could generate IMPLICATION gate operating in fluorescence mode with only **1b** using Hg²⁺ and I⁻ as the two chemical inputs. As discussed above, the titration of **1b** with a solution of Hg²⁺ ions $(5.71 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M})$, the emission band at 500 nm gets quenched. Upon addition of aqueous solution of I⁻ ions $(2.84 \times 10^{-4} \text{ M})$ the original band at 500 nm gets recovered. Moreover, the



Fig. 6 Truth table and molecular circuit generated upon the addition of Hg^{2+} and I^- to 1b.

addition of only I⁻ ion solution $(5.71 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M})$ to a solution of **1b** under similar experimental conditions caused no change in the emission band (Fig. 6). Consequently, previously rarely reported IMPLICATION logic function can be developed with respect to the emission band at 500 nm as output which can be represented as an OR gate with Hg²⁺ input (In_A) line containing an inverter. On the basis of above studies we suggest that possible mode of interaction of Hg²⁺ and Cu²⁺ with **1a–c** involves the π -system of bis(*N*-methylindolyl)methane unit²⁵ in addition to the interaction with oxygen and sulfur atoms of the furan and thiophene units of **1a** and **1b**, respectively. The mechanism by which IMPLICATION gate functions is suggested to be based on the formation of a stable mercury-iodide complex²⁶ that causes the displacement of Hg²⁺ from **1b**:Hg²⁺ complex formed in solution.

The results presented above demonstrate that the interaction of Hg^{2+} and Cu^{2+} with the bis(*N*-methylindolyl)methane-based derivatives leads to the color changes as a consequence of perturbations in their spectral behaviour. The interaction mechanism has been proposed to involve the π -electron system of bis(*N*-methylindolyl)methane unit as well as the heteroatoms present. Further, the changes in the fluorescence behaviour of one of the derivatives on employing Hg^{2+} and I^- ions as two chemical inputs has led to previously rarely reported IMPLICATION gate.

Acknowledgements

PK and KS thank CSIR [01(2265)/08-EMR (II)], New Delhi for financial assistance. SK is grateful to CSIR, New Delhi for senior research fellowship. KS thanks DST, New Delhi for the research grant SR/SI/OC-27/2009.

Notes and references

1 P. Bose and P. Ghosh, Chem. Commun., 2010, 46, 2962-2964.

- 2 L. Wang, X. He, Y. Guo, J. Xu and S. Shao, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2011, 9, 752–757.
- 3 J. Kang, M. Choi, J. Y. Kwon, E. Yeol and J. Yoon, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2002, **67**, 4384–4386.
- 4 R. Martinez, A. Espinosa, A. Tarraga and P. Molina, *Tetrahedron*, 2008, 64, 2184–2191.
- 5 (a) P. Kaur, S. Kaur and K. Singh, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2007, 48, 7191–7193; (b) P. Kaur, S. Kaur, A. Mahajan and K. Singh, *Inorg. Chem. Commun.*, 2008, 11, 626–629; (c) P. Kaur, S. Kaur and K. Singh, *Inorg. Chem. Commun.*, 2009, 12, 978–981; (d) P. Kaur, D. Sareen, S. Kaur and K. Singh, *Inorg. Chem. Commun.*, 2009, 12, 272–275; (e) P. Kaur and D. Sareen, *Dyes Pigm.*, 2011, 88, 296–300; (f) P. Kaur, S. Kaur and D. Sareen, Dyes Pigm., 2011, 88, 296–300; (f) P. Kaur, S. Kaur, Y. Kasetti, P. V. Bharatam and K. Singh, *Talanta*, 2010, 83, 644–650; (g) P. Kaur, D. Sareen and K. Singh, *Talanta*, 2011, 83, 1695–1700; (h) P. Kaur, S. Kaur and K. Singh, *Talanta*, 2011, 84, 947–951; (i) P. Kaur, M. Kaur and K. Singh, *Talanta*, 2011, 85, 1050–1055; (j) P. Kaur, S. Kaur, K. Singh, P. R. Sharma and T. Kaur, *Dalton Trans.*, 2011, 40, 10818–10821.
- 6 (a) E. M. Nolan and S. J. Lippard, Chem. Rev., 2008, 108, 3443–3480;
 (b) D. T. Quang and J. S. Kim, Chem. Rev., 2010, 110, 6280–6301;
 (c) Z.-Q. Guo, W.-Q. Chen and X.-M. Duan, Org. Lett., 2010, 12, 2202–2205;
 (d) K. L. Ciesienski, L. M. Hyman, S. Derisavifard and K. J. Franz, Inorg. Chem., 2010, 49, 6808–6810;
 (e) L. Huang, F. Chen, P. Xi, G. Xie, Z. Li, Y. Shi, M. Xu, H. Liu, Z. Ma, D. C. Bai and Z. Z. Zeng, Dyes Pigm., 2011, 90, 265–268;
 (f) Y.-C. Hsieh, J.-L. Chir, S.-T. Yang, S.-J. Chen, C.-H. Hu and A.-T. Wu, Carbohydr. Res., 2011, 346, 978–981;
 (g) L. Tang, F. Li, M. Liu and R. N. Kumar, Spectrochim. Acta, Part A, 2011, 78, 1168–1172;
 (h) P. Mahato, A. Ghosh, S. Saha, S. Mishra, S. K. Mishra and A. Das, Inorg. Chem., 2010, 49, 11485–11492;
 (i) Y. Li, S. He, Y. Lu and X. Zeng, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2011, 9, 2606–2609;
 (j) Y. Zhou, X.-Y. You, Y. Fang, J.-Y. Li, K. Liu and C. Yao, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2010, 8, 4819–4822.
- 7 D. W. Boening, Chemosphere, 2000, 40, 1335-1351.
- 8 (a) W. F. Fitzgerald, C. H. Lamborg and C. R. Hammerschmidt, *Chem. Rev.*, 2007, **107**, 641–662; (b) M. Nendza, T. Herbst, C. Kussatz and A. Gies, *Chemosphere*, 1997, **35**, 1875–1885; (c) A. Renzoni, F. Zino and E. Franchi, *Environ. Res.*, 1998, **77**, 68–72; (d) J. M. Llobet, G. Falco, C. Casas, A. Teixido and J. L. Damingo, *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 2003, **51**, 838–842.
- 9 E. K. Silbergeld, I. A. Silva and J. F. Nyland, *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.*, 2005, 207, 282–292.
- (a) M. Q. Zhang, Y. C. Zhu and R. W. Deng, *China Ambio.*, 2002, 31, 482–484; (b) G. Westphal and E. Hallier, *Lancet*, 2003, 361, 698–699; (c) M. Harada, S. Nakachi, K. Tasaka, S. Sakashita, K. Muta, K. Yanagida, R. Doi, T. Kazaki and H. Ohno, *Sci. Total Environ.*, 2001, 269, 183–187.
- 11 (a) C. Andreini, L. Banci, I. Bertini and A. Rosato, J. Proteome Res., 2008, 7, 209–216; (b) E. L. Que, D. W. Domaille and C. J. Chang, Chem. Rev., 2008, 108, 1517–1549; (c) E. D. Harris, J. Trace Elem. Exp. Med., 2001, 14, 207–210.

- 12 I. A. Koval, P. Gamez, C. Belle, K. Selmeczi and J. Reedijk, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2006, **35**, 814–840.
- 13 (a) K. J. Barnham, C. L. Masters and A. I. Bush, *Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery*, 2004, **3**, 205–214; (b) E. Gaggelli, H. Kozlowski, D. Valensin and G. Valensin, *Chem. Rev.*, 2006, **106**, 1995–2044; (c) E. Madsen and J. D. Gitlin, *Annu. Rev. Neurosci.*, 2007, **30**, 317–337.
- 14 A. K. Jain, R. K. Singh, S. Jain and J. Raisoni, *Transition Met. Chem.*, 2007, **33**, 243–249.
- 15 S. L. Belli and A. Zirino, Anal. Chem., 1993, 65, 2583-2589.
- 16 A. P. de Silva, H. Q. N. Gunaratne and C. P. McCoy, *Nature*, 1993, 364, 42–44.
- (a) A. P. de Silva, H. Q. N. Gunaratne and C. P. McCoy, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1997, 119, 7891–7892; (b) A. Credi, V. Balzani, S. J. Langford and J. F. Stoddart, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1997, 119, 2679–2681; (c) A. P. de Silva, I. M. Dixon, H. Q. N. Gunaratne, T. Gunnlaugsson, P. R. S. Maxwell and T. E. Rice, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1999, 121, 1393– 1394; (d) A. P. de Silva and N. D. McClenaghan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2000, 122, 3965–3966; (e) A. Saghatelian, N. H. Volcker, K. M. Guckian, V. S. Y. Lin and M. R. Ghadiri, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, 125, 346–347; (f) K. K. Upadhyay, A. Kumar, R. K. Mishra, T. M. Fyles, S. Upadhyay and K. Thapliyal, New J. Chem., 2010, 34, 1862–1866; (g) J. Wang and C.-S. Ha, Analyst, 2010, 135, 1214–1218; (h) M. Suresh, A. Ghosh and A. Das, Chem. Commun., 2008, 3906–3908.
- 18 (a) K. Kurack, C. Trieflinger, A. Kovalchuck and J. Daub, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2007, **13**, 8998–9003; (b) S. Wang, G. Men, L. Zhao, Q. Hou and S. Jiang, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2010, **145**, 826–831.
- 19 P. Gans, A. Sabatini and A. Vacca, Talanta, 1996, 43, 1739-1753.
- 20 Detection limit (DL) is given as $DL = (0.03 \times RSDB)/(\chi_A/c_o)$, where RSDB (relative standard deviation of the background expressed as a percent) is the sensitivity (the slope of the calibration curve of intensity *versus* composition), χ_A is the net analyte signal (*i.e.* signal above background) and c_o is the composition of the element in the sample
- 21 (a) L. R. Naik, H. M. S. Kumar, S. R. Inamdar and N. N. Math, *Spectrosc. Lett.*, 2005, **38**, 645–659; (b) S. Jayaraman and A. S. Verkman, *Biophys. Chem.*, 2000, **85**, 49–57; (c) A. L. Koner, P. P. Mishra, S. Jha and A. Dutta, *J. Photochem. Photobiol.*, *A*, 2005, **170**, 21–26.
- 22 (a) M. Yu, M. Shi, Z. Chen, F. Li, X. Li, Y. Gao, J. Xu, H. Yang, Z. Zhou, T. Yi and C. Huang, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2008, 14, 6892–6900;
 (b) G.-K. Li, Z.-X. Xu, C.-F. Chen and Z.-T. Huang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2008, 1774–1776; (c) Z.-C. Wen, R. Yang, H. He and Y.-B. Jiang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2006, 106–108.
- 23 G. T. Cheek and R. F. Nelson, J. Org. Chem., 1978, 43, 1230-1232.
- 24 T.-L. Ho, Chem. Rev., 1975, 75, 1-20.
- 25 (a) M. A. Omary, R. M. Kassab, M. R. Haneline, O. Elbjeirami and F. P. Gabbai, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2003, **42**, 2176–2178; (b) C. Burress, O. Elbjeirami, M. A. Omary and F. P. Gabbai, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 12166–12167.
- 26 E. Coronado, J. R. Galan-Mascaros, C. Marti-Gastaldo, E. Palomares, J. R. Durrant, R. Vilar, M. Gratzel and M. K. Nazeeruddin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 12351–12356.